

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/726,736	11/29/2000	Samuel Schindler	ESEC-P32US-D1	3137
75	90 04/01/2003			
Marc S. Hanish D' Alessandro & Ritchie P.O. Box 640640			EXAMINER	
			CHANG, RICK KILTAE	
San Jose, CA 95164-0640			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3729	1,
			DATE MAILED: 04/01/2003	/\

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<del></del>	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
•		7				
Office Action Summany	09/726,736	SCHINDLER, SAMUEL				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Rick K. Chang	3729				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period was really received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ti y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) da vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONI	mely filed ys will be considered timely. n the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 24 F	<u>-ebruary 2003</u> .	·				
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ Th	is action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is						
closed in accordance with the practice under <b>Disposition of Claims</b>	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11,	453 O.G. 213.				
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>15-40</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>15-40</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11)⊠ The proposed drawing correction filed on <u>24 February 2003</u> is: a) approved b)⊠ disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
Certified copies of the priority documents						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) 🔲 Notice of Informal	ry (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 09/726,736 Page 2

Art Unit: 3729

### DETAILED ACTION

## Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 2/24/03 has been entered.

NOTE: Applicants are duly reminded that a full and proper response to this Office Action that includes any amendment to the claims and specification of the application as originally filed requires that the applicant point out the support for any amendment made to the disclosure, including the claims. See 37 CFR 1.111 and MPEP 2163.06.

### **Drawings**

2. The proposed drawing correction and/or the proposed substitute sheets of drawings, filed on 2/24/03 have been disapproved because they introduce new matter into the drawings. 37 CFR 1.121(f) states that no amendment may introduce new matter into the disclosure of an application. The original disclosure does not support the showing of wheel 50 being engaged with 7' and 5'.

NOTE: Applicants are duly reminded that a full and proper response to this Office Action that includes any amendment to the claims and specification of the application as originally filed requires that the applicant point out the support for any amendment made to the disclosure, including the claims. See 37 CFR 1.111 and MPEP 2163.06.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

Application/Control Number: 09/726,736 Page 3

Art Unit: 3729

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the

basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on

sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

4. Claims 15-20, 25 and 40 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by

Genov et al (US 5,064,340).

Genov discloses 32 is a chip gripper; 12 and 18 levers; toothed wheels and belts (Fig. 5)

moves 32, 12 and 18, a plurality of shafts as Fig. 1; a drive mechanism is housed in 38; levers

arragned with toothed wheels and belts provide various different angles between levers; and 32 is

rigidly connected to the opposing end of the second pivoted lever by a shaft, bearings and screws

(Fig. 1).

NOTE: Applicants are duly reminded that a full and proper response to this Office

Action that includes any amendment to the claims and specification of the application as

originally filed requires that the applicant point out the support for any amendment made

to the disclosure, including the claims. See 37 CFR 1.111 and MPEP 2163.06.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all

obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the

manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 09/726,736 Page 4

Art Unit: 3729

6. Claims 21-24 and 26-39 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Genov et al (US 5,064,340) in view of Parker (US 5,934,147).

Genov fails to disclose delimiters and 1:3 gear ratio.

Parker discloses Fig. 3 shows delimiters thereby limiting the rotational movement of the gripper to allow for picking up heavy or odd size electronic components at certain locations of the apparatus' reach without manipulating any one of the levers.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Genov by providing delimiters, as taught by Parker, for the purpose of limiting the rotational movement of the gripper to allow for picking up heavy or odd size electronic components at certain locations of the apparatus' reach without manipulating any one of the levers.

At the time the invention was made, it would have been an obvious matter of design choice to a person of ordinary skill in the art to provide a gear ratio of 1:3 because Applicant has not disclosed that such gear ratio provides an advantage, is used for a particular purpose, or solves a stated problem. One of ordinary skill in the art, furthermore, would have expected Applicant's invention to perform equally well with the gear and toothed belt system as taught by Genov because the outcome of the apparatus is the same.

NOTE: Applicants are duly reminded that a full and proper response to this Office Action that includes any amendment to the claims and specification of the application as originally filed requires that the applicant point out the support for any amendment made to the disclosure, including the claims. See 37 CFR 1.111 and MPEP 2163.06.

Response to Arguments

Application/Control Number: 09/726,736

Art Unit: 3729

7. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 15-40 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

## Conclusion

- 8. Applicants are duly reminded that a full and proper response to this Office Action that includes any amendment to the claims and specification of the application as originally filed requires that the applicant point out the support for any amendment made to the disclosure, including the claims. See 37 CFR 1.111 and MPEP 2163.06.
- 9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Rick K. Chang whose telephone number is (703) 308-4784. The examiner can normally be reached on 5:30 AM to 1:30 PM, Monday through Friday, except for maxi-flex day off (any one of working days).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Peter D. Vo can be reached on (703) 308-1789. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9302 for regular communications and (703) 872-9303 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1148.

RICHARD CHANG (
PRIMARY EXAMINER

Page 5